

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Lance Brubaker

**Date Submitted:** 11/05/2021 02:46 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** Please support Alternative 1 • Don't destroy 23 acres of native habitat, including 227 City-protected trees • The full project is counter to City priorities, including the Biodiversity Report and the LA Sustainability Plan • The LA Zoo will still benefit from zoo animal care improvements and many visitor amenities if Alternative 1 is implemented End the push for pointless expansion of certain LA zoo facilities like the rock climbing wall and vineyard and focus on protecting ACTUAL NATIVE FLORA AND FAUNA

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Brendan Coates

**Date Submitted:** 11/05/2021 04:38 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** I'm against the proposed expansion of the LA City Zoo. It's an unnecessary cost burdon on city taxpayers and the city's budget. Additionally, and more importantly to me personally, it would destroy a considerable amount of native plant habitat - replacing it, in part, with a vineyard. Why does a zoo need a vineyard? Does the proximity to sad animals from another hemisphere have a positive impact on the terroir? My partner actually made a video game about zoos and I'm kinda sad she didn't channel enough "rich awful divorced co-parent" energy to come up with adding a vineyard as an option: <https://cass.itch.io/zootycoon2032> Put that money towards housing people or schools or really any other public service (not cops they got plenty of cash) instead

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Adam

**Date Submitted:** 11/05/2021 04:50 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** Griffith Park is one of the few natural places left within our city limits with real wildlife. If we keep chipping and chipping away at the park there will be nothing left. It will end up like the rest of LA, concrete, congested, and a facsimile of a real experience. It's incredibly ironic that this plan calls for distraction of wildlife to build a better zoo. This is despicable. Please support Alternative 1

- Don't destroy 23 acres of native habitat, including 227 City-protected trees
- The full project is counter to City priorities, including the Biodiversity Report and the LA Sustainability Plan
- The LA Zoo will still benefit from zoo animal care improvements and many visitor amenities if Alternative 1 is implemented

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Peter Kearns

**Date Submitted:** 11/05/2021 04:55 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a Rec and Parks employee I cannot overstate the value of Griffith park as a sanctuary in the midst of sprawling urban development. To frequently the city spends land like it can be replaced where the reality is that it cannot be. Development of parks should be done in a way that includes all and building "Special event venues" that will no doubt be cost prohibitive to the majority of the public is an unacceptable use of our land. Furthermore developing Griffith is a slippery and dangerous slope. It opens the door for further expansion or redevelopment that a majority of the public has REPEATEDLY expressed it has no interest in. Please consider the long term effects of eroding trust in our park systems as you make this decision.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Alex Kennedy

**Date Submitted:** 11/05/2021 05:04 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** I support Alternative 1. Please don't destroy 23 acres of native habitat. The LA Zoo will still benefit from zoo animal care improvements and many visitor amenities if Alternative 1 is implemented.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** John Mullins

**Date Submitted:** 11/05/2021 08:24 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** Please don't do this. There are much higher priorities this city needs to have before a waste of money is spent. There is so much entertainment in this city we don't need to have an attraction where animals get to live a miserable life in a tiny habitat. And to be honest, a museum can give a better education and culture to our city than an animal prison. Los Angeles needs to focus on helping it be a more affordable city. Many of the non-millionaire residents live hand to mouth and for being the greatest city on earth we sure have a pretty pathetic way of helping the homeless. \$650M should be spent making our lives better and higher quality of life and a zoo improvement ain't it.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Eve

**Date Submitted:** 11/05/2021 06:17 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** I do not agree with the proposed zoo expansion due to its impact on the trees and animals in the park and overall disruption of the natural environment

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Cody S

**Date Submitted:** 11/05/2021 06:26 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** Do not expand the LA Zoo into the surrounding native and natural habitat. The Zoo should be upgraded but not expanded



## Communication from Public

**Name:** J

**Date Submitted:** 11/05/2021 06:34 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** Really! Instead of expansion we should be closing down the zoo and freeing these animals. Fuck your capitalistic expansion to include luxurious attractions like a winery to attract a failing and out dated establishment. Leave the area around you as is if you can't believe it actual animals live there too and aren't captive.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Peter

**Date Submitted:** 11/05/2021 06:43 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** I live in Van Nuys/Sherman Oaks. I am completely against the expansion of the LA Zoo. My feelings on animal imprisonment aside, the destruction of the adjacent natural habitat and the hundreds of city protected trees within it is completely at odds with the idea of “conservation” that this expansion claims it cares so much about. Please reject the expansion of the LA Zoo. If you’re trying to compete with Universal or Disney Land, don’t. Just raise their taxes. Problem solved.

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 11/05/2021 06:57 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** It is irresponsible to spend this kind of money upgrading the zoo when there are other problems like homelessness that need to be addressed. Also, this city already has enough tourist attractions.